

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 In re: L&L ENERGY, INC. SECURITIES
9 LITIGATION

Case No. C11-1423RSL

10 ORDER GRANTING MOTION
11 FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME

12 This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' "Motion for Enlargement of
13 Time to File Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss" (Dkt. # 52). They request a three-
14 week extension to allow them time to obtain original copies of reports at the heart of the
15 parties' dispute and whose authenticity Plaintiff questions. The Court GRANTS the
16 request.

17 Ordinarily, the Court would consider a request like Defendants' with great
18 disfavor. Local Rule 7(d)(3) provides a definitive schedule for the filing of a response
19 and a reply to a dispositive motion like Defendants'. It requires the moving party to file
20 its reply no later than the noting date—four days after the non-moving party's deadline
21 for filing a response. LR 7(d)(3). That said, Defendants have demonstrated sufficient
22 good cause in this case to warrant an extension. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1). Plaintiff has
23 raised substantial questions regarding both Defendants' documents and their actions and
24 these questions cannot be adequately answered in the time allotted. And equally
25 important to the Court is the fact that Defendants have themselves previously allowed
26 Plaintiff several extensions, including an additional two-week extension to file his

1 opposition to this motion. Dkt. # 35. As Plaintiff had 10 weeks to prepare his
2 opposition, the Court sees no reason for denying Defendants the extension they seek
3 given the good cause demonstrated.

4 For all of the foregoing reasons, the Court GRANTS Defendants' motion and
5 directs the Clerk of Court to re-note their motion to dismiss (Dkt. # 37) to August 31,
6 2012.

7 Dated this 13th day of August, 2012.

8 

9 Robert S. Lasnik
United States District Judge